

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,345	03/10/2005	Mark Beckmann	03869.105895	6320
86528 King & Spaldi	7590 01/20/201 ing LLP	EXAM	IINER	
401 Congress		PATEL, NIMESH		
Suite 3200 Austin, TX 78701			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/20/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision	Applica
from Pre-Appeal Brief	10/522
Review	Nimes

Application/Control No	Applicant(s)/Patent Reexamination	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/522,345	BECKMANN ET A	BECKMANN ET AL.	
	Art Unit		
Nimesh Patel	2617		

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Rec	quest for Review filed 22 November 2010.
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The Request is reason(s):</li> </ol>	s improper and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not beer☐ The request does not include reas☐ A proposed amendment is include☐ Other:	
	nues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from tion, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under appea is required to submit an appeal brief in acc brief will be reset to be one month from ma running from the receipt of the notice of ap	als and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been al because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant brordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal alling this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period opeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 1.36 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
□ The panel has determined the state Claim(s) allowed:     □ Claim(s) objected to:     □ Claim(s) rejected: 16-30     □ Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration	
	nce has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference action will be mailed. No further action is r	ce has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>Dwayne D. Bost</u> .	(3) <u>Charles Appiah</u> .
(2) Nimesh Patel.	(4)
/Dwayne D. Bost/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617	

(1) (2